

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Recommendations on gastric decontamination in acute intoxication**To the Editor:**

Acute intoxication is an everyday reason for consulting the emergency department (ED) and any studies on the epidemiological, clinical and therapeutic aspects of this condition are worthy of our attention; this is the case with the excellent article recently published by Burillo et al, providing an update on acute intoxication and the decontamination techniques most frequently used in Spanish hospitals¹.

Few studies have analyzed ED gastric decontamination techniques and compliance with the recommendations of the European Association of Poison Centres and Clinical Toxicologists (EAPCCT) and the American Academy of Clinical Toxicology (AACT). However, at Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, gastric decontamination techniques for acute intoxication have been studied for a number of years. Our initial studies, based on the previously cited recommendations, compared the advantages of activated charcoal, administered alone, as a single oral dose, against other decontamination techniques; this work led to our first algorithm of recommendations for gastric decontamination due to medication ingestion, which considered the type of drug, the time lapse before consulting, and the clinical state of the patient^{2,3}. We subsequently evaluated the impact of applying this algorithm on the evolution of toxin concentration in plasma, and which technique avoided absorption of the medication, as well as their secondary effects. Those studies concluded that oral charcoal was the treatment of choice for most cases of intoxication due to medication ingestion^{4,5}.

All this produced changes in our attendance procedures, as shown in a comparative study⁶. From this and other research performed conjointly with Hospital de Son Dureta de Palma de Mallorca, quality indicators of attention for acute intoxication (CALITOX) were elaborated, together with members of the Sociedad Española de Medicina de Urgencias y Emergencias and the Sección de Toxicología Clínica de la Asociación Española de Toxicología^{7,8}.

Recent data obtained by the ED, Hospital Clínic, show that oral ingestion accounted for 79.4% of cases, but gastric decontamination was only used in 22.1% of the patients. The most frequently used technique involved activated char-

coal, with oral administration in 88.1% and nasogastric tube in 8.5%^{6,9}. These data are similar to those previously reported; in 2006 gastric decontamination was performed in 23.2% of toxin ingestion. Decontamination was performed with oral activated charcoal in 85.2% of cases and with nasogastric tube in 11.6%; although 3.8% of the patients receiving activated charcoal presented an adverse reaction – vomiting – no episode of broncho-aspiration was recorded⁷. In 2004, oral activated charcoal was again the most frequently used agent (71.6%)⁴.

On the one hand, these results show compliance with the EAPCCT/AACT recommendations at Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, and on the other that the assertion by Burillo et al that their study is the first to show activated charcoal to be the most commonly used gastric decontaminant in Spain is not true. However, their meritorious, multi-centre, national study confirms the improvement in gastric decontamination techniques for acute intoxication and the compliance with international recommendations, which is a credit to our ED physicians, particularly those contributing to this change by making their research known.

References

- Burillo G, Munné P, Dueñas A, Trujillo MM, Jiménez A, Adrián MJ, et al. Intoxicaciones agudas: perfil epidemiológico y clínico, y análisis de las técnicas de descontaminación digestiva utilizadas en los servicios de urgencias españoles en el año 2006 –Estudio Hispatox–. *Emergencias* 2008;20:15-26.
- Amigó M, Faro J, Ambrós A, Alves D, Ferró I, Mangirón P, et al. Jarabe de ipecacuana versus carbón activado en las intoxicaciones medicamentosas. *Metas de Enfermería* 2002;46:6-11.
- Amigó M, Faro J, Estruch D, Cascán M, Gallego S, Gómez E, et al. Descontaminación digestiva en pacientes con intoxicación medicamentosa aguda. Validación de un algoritmo para la toma de decisiones sobre la indicación y el método prioritario. *Emergencias* 2003;15:18-26.
- Amigó M, Nogué S, Sanjurjo E, Faro J, Ferró I, Miró O. Eficacia y seguridad de la descontaminación digestiva en la intoxicación medicamentosa aguda. *Med Clin (Barc)* 2004;122:487-92.
- Amigó M, Nogué S. Efectos adversos asociados a la administración de carbón activado en pacientes con intoxicación medicamentosa aguda. *Enferm Cientif* 2004;272-273:45-53.
- Nogué S, Amigó M, Sánchez M, Salmerón JM. Evaluación y seguimiento de la calidad asistencial ofrecida a los intoxicados en un Servicio de Urgencias. *Rev Toxicol* 2006;23:95-100.
- Amigó M, Nogué S, Gómez E, Sanjurjo E, Sánchez M, Puiguriguer J. Medida de la calidad asistencial que se ofrece a los pacientes con intoxicaciones agudas en el Servicio de Urgencias. *Emergencias* 2006;18:7-16.
- Nogué S, Puiguriguer J, Amigó M. Indicadores de calidad para la asistencia urgente de pacientes con intoxicaciones agudas. (CALITOX-2006). Asociación Española de Toxicología. Sección de Toxicología Clínica (consultado el 26-02-2008). Disponible en: <http://www.aetox.es>
- Amigó M, Nogué S, Sánchez M. Técnicas y procedimientos aplicados a los pacientes con intoxicación aguda en un servicio de urgencias. *Enferm Clin* 2007;17:237-44.

Montserrat AMIGÓ TADÍN

Servicio de Urgencias. Hospital Clínic. Barcelona, Spain.

Slight head injuries in the emergency department and rules of clinical decision

To the Editor:

We have read with interest the article by Cubián et al on slight head injuries attended by a short stay unit in an ED¹. The study contributes to knowledge about this clinical problem in Spain, thus differentiating it from foreign case reports and series which probably differ in incidence, causes, financial healthcare criteria, legal consequences and physician training of those attending such patients². Given the high incidence and relevance for ED staff of this clinical situation, we wish to contribute some reflections on the study.

Firstly, we would have expected more information on statistical data: despite commenting, in the discussion section, the lack of correlation between loss of consciousness and amnesia with pathological CT scan or referral to a neurosurgical centre, these data do not appear in the results. Also, it would have been interesting to know about the degree of association between the rest of the symptoms and/or the lesions detected by CT scan with the corresponding Glasgow Coma Score (GLS). Another important aspect that was omitted is an analysis of the relation between drug ingestion and GCS, with alarm symptoms or lesions associated with substance abuse. However, the statistical power of these relations may have been low due to the small sample size.

Secondly, in conclusions the authors affirm that short-stay units (SSU) are the ideal places for symptom and evolution control of patients with slight head injuries. Even though we agree, it seems that this conclusion cannot be derived from the results. Beforehand, one needs to clarify the reasons behind the 7-day range in stay period, which is a priori incompatible with the criterion for SSU admission³. Perhaps the absence of a section on the limitations of the study is related to all the above.

Regarding the discussion, the authors rightly refer to some large studies on the topic in the literature. However, they did not make any reference to the rules of clinical decision on the need for CT scan that these studies have generated, which probably inspired the study and the inclusion criteria giving rise to the sample selection, as well as appearing in the conclusions as desirable.

In this respect, various research groups have developed validated clinical criteria to determine which patients should receive a cranial CT scan after slight head injury. The most important are the Canadian criteria (Canadian CT Head Rule –CCHR)^{4,5} and the New Orleans criteria (NOC)⁶.

The Canadian criteria were validated using a data base of 5,828 patients. According to these criteria, CT scan should be performed in slight head injury cases presenting one of the following: GLS of < 15 two hours after the injury; suspected open or depressed cranial fracture; any sign of basal cranial fracture; two or more episodes of vomiting; age 65 years or more; anterograde amnesia of the event lasting 30 minutes or more; "dangerous" mechanism (involving impact with, or falling from, a moving vehicle, fall from a height > 90 cm or down > 5 stairs/steps). CT scan is mandatory for all patients with: neurological focality, convulsions, intoxication by alcohol/drugs, coagulation disorder or receiving oral anticoagulants, although patients with these conditions were not included in the original study population used to develop and test the CCHR criteria.

In the NOC recommendations, CT scan is mandatory for those patients with vomiting, 60 years of age or more, or anterograde amnesia, as well as those presenting headache, alcohol/drug intoxication or visible clavicular lesions.

The two sets of CT decision rules have been compared with patient samples of around 3,000 patients^{7,8}; sensitivity obtained was around 100% for both CCHR and NOC for the detection of important brain damage requiring neurosurgery. However, CCHR has shown higher specificity (88% vs 52.1%), thus generating a lower rate of brain scans.

A third CT head rule, developed in a European setting, has recently been published by a Dutch group, called the CHIP prediction rule, which also provides guidance on the need for CT scan after slight head injury^{9,10}. In our opinion, this is a promising head rule since it was not designed just for patients suffering loss of consciousness or amnesia; it is currently pending external validation.

References

- Cubián I, Franco JA, Barona A, García A. Análisis de los traumatismos craneoencefálicos leves atendidos en un Servicio de Urgencias. *Emergencias* 2007;19:21-4.
- Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. A practical scale. *Lancet* 1974;2:81-4.
- Marion DW, Carlier P. Problems with initial Glasgow Coma Scale assessment caused by treatment of patients with head injuries: results of a national survey. *J Trauma* 1994;36:89-95.
- Cuenca Solanas M, López Castillo C, Alted López E, del Nogal Sáez F. Hipoglucemia severa simulando trauma craneal. *Emergencias* 1995;7:150-1.
- Stiell IG, Wells GA, Vandemheen K, Clement C, Lesiuk H, Laupacis A, et al. The Canadian CT Head Rule for patients with minor head injury. *Lancet* 2001;357:1391-6.
- Clement CM, Stiell IG, Schull MJ, Rowe BH, Brison R, Lee JS, et al. CCC Study Group. Clinical features of head injury patients presenting with a Glasgow Coma Scale score of 15 and who require neurosurgical intervention. *Ann Emerg Med* 2006;48:245-51.
- Haydee MJ, Preston CA. Indications for Computed Tomography in Patients with Minor Head Injury. *NEJM*. 2000;343:100-5.

- 8 Stiell IG, Clement CM, Rowe BH, Schull MJ, Brison R, Cass D, et al. Comparison of the Canadian CT Head Rule and the New Orleans Criteria in patients with minor head injury. *JAMA* 2005;294:1511-8.
- 9 Smits M, Dippel DW, de Haan GG, Dekker HM, Vos PE, Kool DR, et al. External validation of the Canadian CT Head Rule and the New Orleans Criteria for CT scanning in patients with minor head injury. *JAMA* 2005;294:1519-25.
- 10 Smits M, Dippel DW, Steyerberg EW, de Haan GG, Dekker HM, Vos PE, et al. Predicting intracranial traumatic findings on computed tomography in patients with minor head injury: the CHIP prediction rule. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;146:397-405.
- 11 Borg J, Holm L, Cassidy JD, Peloso PM, Carroll LJ, von Holst H, et al. Diagnostic procedures in mild traumatic brain injury: results of the WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Rehabil Med* 2004;(suppl.43):61-75.
- 12 Stein SC, Ross SE. The value of computed tomographic scans in patients with low-risk head injuries. *Neurosurgery* 1990;26:638-40.
- 13 Af Geijerstam JL, Oredsson S, Britton M. Medical outcome after immediate computed tomography or admission for observation in patients with mild head injury: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2006;333:455-6.
- 14 Ochoa-Gomez J, Villar-Arias A, Echeverría-Echarri L, Ramall-Gomara E, Ruiz-Azpiazú JL, Bragado-Blas L. Attendance of patients with minor head injury in an emergency department observation ward. *Eur J Emerg Med* 2000;7:267-70.
- 15 The management of minor closed head injury in children. Committee on Quality Improvement, American Academy of Pediatrics. Commission on Clinical policies and Research, American Academy of Family Physicians. *Pediatrics* 1999;104:1407.

Clara Elisa GIRONÉS BREDY,
Ana Teresa DARIAS ACOSTA,
M^a Ángeles LÓPEZ HERNÁNDEZ,
Lissette TRAVERÍA BECKER

*Servicio de Urgencias. Hospital Universitario de Canarias.
La Laguna. Tenerife, Spain.*

Hypovolemic shock and splenic hydatidic cyst

To the Editor:

We have read with interest the study by Laguía et al¹ published by your journal in December 2007. As indicated by the authors, the incidence of anaphylactic shock in hydatidosis (1-7.5%) is low, but its debut with hypovolemic shock is even more unusual; in fact there are very few published reports on this.

Case report: A 62-year-old woman with no relevant clinical history or previous trauma presented at our ED with general malaise, diffuse abdominal pain of various hours evolution, paleness, cold sweat, hypotension and tachycardia.

Laboratory tests showed haemoglobin 9 g/dL, haematocrit 27%, leucocytosis 12,500/mm³ and neutrophils 74%. Abdominal echography showed a moderate amount of free peritoneal liquid compatible with haemoperitoneum. The liver, gall bladder and kidneys were normal. The spleen showed de-structuration of the inferior pole and a partly calcified rounded object of ± 12 cm which displaced the splenic tissue peripherally. Emergency mid laparotomy revealed splenomegalia and inferior capsule rupture, with wide bleeding lesions. Splenectomy was performed, with abdominal cavity irrigation and a drainage tube was placed

in the surgical wound. Patient evolution was satisfactory. The anatomic pathology report showed a 14.5 cm spleen with inferior zone rupture and a hydatidic cyst, with intact but partially calcified walls, occupying the central area of the spleen. No other parenchymal alterations were observed.

Spontaneous splenic rupture is a rare condition generally associated with neoplasia, particularly haemangiosarcoma^{2,3}. There are also isolated reports of splenic rupture in true non-parasitic cysts⁴.

The simplicity, availability and utility of echography make it the most commonly used technique to diagnose hydatidosis, solid organ lesions (especially the liver and spleen) and to detect even small quantities of intra-abdominal liquid.

The few published cases of splenic hydatidosis causing haemoperitoneum involved previous trauma and/or cyst rupture^{5,6} in contrast to our case, which was spontaneous and, in addition, involved an intact cyst. Despite the calcified walls, the parasite may have still been alive and increasing in size. The main pathogenic mechanism is this growth, affecting the surrounding tissue by a mechanical "mass effect" action⁷ as occurred with our patient who presented hypovolemic shock due to haemoperitoneum after splenic rupture induced by a giant hydatidic cyst situated within the spleen.

References

- Laguía L, Caldevilla D, Martínez J. Shock anafilático secundario a rotura espontánea de quiste hidatídico. *Emergencias* 2007;19:352-4.
- Sivelli R, Piccolo D, Soliani P, Franzini C, Zielger S, Sianesi M. Rupture of the spleen in angiosarcoma: a case report and review of the literature. *Chir Ital* 2005;57:377-80.
- Liñán A, Suárez JM, Valera Z, Vázquez A, Docobo F. Hemoperitoneo espontáneo por hemangiosarcoma de bazo. *Cir Esp* 2008;84:171-2.
- Lam CM, Yuen S, Yuen W. Hemoperitoneum caused by spontaneous rupture of a true splenic cyst. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1998;45:1884-6.
- Amoroso L, De Sio M. Hemoperitoneum due to rupture of echinococcus cyst in the spleen. *G Ital Chir* 1971;27:53-6.
- Herrera N, Díaz M, Marinelli A, San José A, Abascal J, Colás A. Abdomen agudo causado por ruptura espontánea de quiste hidatídico esplénico. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig* 1990;78:102-4.
- Michael MD, Yuman M. Hígado. Quistes hidatídicos. En: Sabiston. Tratado de Cirugía. Ed: Elsevier. 17ª edición 2005; p.1542-3.

María José VALENZUELA MARTÍNEZ¹,
María Pilar SANTERO RAMÍREZ¹,
Ana CRUZ LÓPEZ²,
Fernando MARTÍNEZ UBIETO¹

¹Servicio de Cirugía General. ²Servicio de Urgencias.
Hospital Comarcal de Alcañiz. Teruel, Spain.

Body packer: diagnostic difficulties in a high-risk situation

To the Editor:

The term body packer refers to an individual who uses the body to conceal and transport illegal drugs. It should be distinguished from body stuffer,

which refers to a person carrying a wrapped substance that is hurriedly swallowed, usually on being approached by the police, and from the term body packer used to describe a person carrying drug packets concealed in the rectum or vagina¹. The most common drugs involved are cocaine and heroin, although others have also been described including amphetamines and cannabis². The first body packer case described in medical literature was reported in 1973, Toronto, with small bowel obstruction occurring three days after ingestion of hashish-filled condom³. Since then, this type of traffic has increased and evolved in tandem with growing psychotropic consumption and world-wide travel⁴.

A body packer may suffer complications, both mechanical (intestinal obstruction) and toxicological (after packet rupture), which must be taken into account by the attending physician. The diagnosis of drug-packet ingestion is habitually made by simple abdominal radiography, but this test may present false positive and false negative results⁵.

We present the case of severe cocaine intoxication due to packet-rupture in a body packer with false negative simple abdominal radiography.

A 51-year-old man presented psychomotor agitation in public near a railway station. On arrival of the police, the man showed tachycardia and hypertension. He reported having ingested fifty "balls" of cocaine; given the agitation, he was sedated with midazolam and haloperidol, then transferred to a hospital ED. On arrival he was awake, greatly agitated and showed psychotic symptoms. Physical examination showed blood pressure 147/79 mmHg, cardiac frequency 130-140 bpm, pulse oximetry oxygen saturation 94%, mydriatic pupils, non-painful abdomen and no signs of peritonism. Acute cocaine intoxication was suspected; abdominal radiography was negative. Blood test showed no significant alterations but toxicological urine test was positive for cocaine, benzodiazepine and amphetamines. Neurological deterioration led to the administration of sedative, muscle relaxant, orotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation. Body packer syndrome was suspected, motivating an abdominal CT scan which revealed seven hyper dense objects measuring 4 x 1.5 cm in the stomach and one more in the jejunum (Figure 1). He was immediately transferred to the theatre for emergency laparotomy. Seven packets (one ruptured) were removed by gastrostomy and another (intact) by enterotomy. No incidents were recorded during postoperative evolution; the patient was always awake and oriented, afebrile and haemodynamically stable.

The presence of a body packer in an ED may be due to various causes. After detection in an airport equipped with a radiological device, they may be asymptomatic but brought for evaluation and monitoring until complete rectal excretion of the drug packets. Alternatively, they may present

gastro-intestinal symptoms such as vomiting, abdominal pain due to mechanical obstruction or upper digestive tract haemorrhage caused by prolonged lodgement of a large packet in the gastric mucosa that could not pass through the pylorus⁶. Finally, as in our case, the body packer may present systemic symptoms of acute intoxication following packet rupture, including cocaine-induced agitation, arrhythmia or convulsion, or lowered level of consciousness and respiratory depression induced by heroin^{7,8}. These latter situations constitute what is known as body packer syndrome⁹. Although the initial therapeutic approach is conservative, the need for emergency surgery must be considered in the event of persistence or progression of overdose symptoms¹⁰. The first test to be performed is simple abdominal radiography. Experimental studies have shown that the radiological density of the drug largely depends on the substances with which it has been mixed¹². In order to avoid detection, drug traffickers incorporate materials such as aluminium foil, transparent plastic or carbon paper to alter the radiological density of the packets ingested^{4,13}. The probability of radiological false negatives increases in cases of body stuffers, which require the use of other more sensitive and specific tests to confirm the diagnosis.

The normal ED approach is to screen the patient first with simple abdominal radiography; if negative but there is a high index of suspicion, abdominal CT scan is performed, although false negatives have also been described for this test¹⁴. Other diagnostic options include initial screening with echography and barium meal transit test^{15,16}. Regarding the latter test, its sensitivity is high, up to 96%, but rules out subsequent CT scan¹⁷. Lastly, a somewhat controversial test should be mentioned: toxic urine analysis. Although it may be most useful to identify the packet content, it may also be misleading since body packers often consume drugs and/or anxiolytics for the journey¹⁸. Diverse studies have reported sensitivity ranging between 40 and 90%, which means that urine analysis is not recommended as a screening test⁴. However, it continues to be used in many ED services as a complementary test that provides important information in a high-risk situation⁶.

References

- 1 Madrazo Z, Silvio-Estaba L, Secanella L, García-Barrasa A, Aranda H, Golda T et al. body packer: revisión y experiencia en un hospital de referencia. *Cir Esp* 2007;82:139-45.
- 2 Takekawa K, Ohmori T, Kido A, Oya M. Methamphetamine body packer: acute poisoning death due to massive leaking of methamphetamine. *J Forensic Sci* 2007;52:1219-22.

18 Nihira M, Hayashida M, Ohno Y, Inuzuka S, Yolota, Yamamoto Y, Urinalysis of body-packers in Japan. *Japan Anal Toxicol* 1998;22:61-5.

Marta MAGALDI, Santiago NOGUÉ,
Blanca COLL-VINENT, Ainitze IBARZÁBAL

Servicio de Urgencias. Hospital Clínic. Barcelona, Spain.

Cervical subcutaneous emphysema as a complication of diagnostic colonoscopy

To the Editor:

Subcutaneous emphysema (SE) of the neck in adults is usually due to pharyngoesophageal, laryngotracheal or tracheobronchial tree trauma, Ludwig's angina, oesophageal perforation or diving accidents¹.

A 76-year-old man with no relevant clinical history underwent colonoscopy during the study of haematochezia; no suspicious lesions were found, so tissue biopsy was not required. He presented at ED two hours later for a swollen neck, without respiratory difficulties, pain or other symptoms. Physical examination showed haemodynamic stability and auscultation was normal. The abdomen was soft and palpable, without pain, but there was a marked increase in neck volume, with crepitus. Radiological study showed pneumoperitoneum, pneumomediastinum and cervical SE (Figure



Figure 1. Abdominal CT. Arrows show hyperdense images in the stomach (upper part) and one in the jejunum (lower part).



Figure 1. Radiological image showing pneumoperitoneum, pneumomediastinum and subcutaneous emphysema of the neck (arrows).

- 3 Deitel M, Syed AK. Intestinal obstruction by an unusual foreign body. *Can Med Assoc J* 1973;109:211-2.
- 4 Traub SJ, Hoffman RS, Nelson LS. Body Packing – The internal concealment of illicit drugs. *N Engl J Med* 2003;349:2519-26.
- 5 Raben AM, Phoa SS, Busch OR, Schultz MJ. Case report of a smuggler's dinner: carrots and asparagus, or bolitas? *Med Sci Monit* 2005;11:579-81.
- 6 Dueñas-Laita A, Nogué S, Burillo-Putze G. Body paking. *N Engl J Med* 2004;350:1260-1.
- 7 Mégarbane B, Ekhérian JM, Couchard AC, Goldgran-Tolédano D, Baud F. La chirurgie au secors des body-packers. *Ann Fran Anesth Réa* 2004;23:495-8.
- 8 Bulstrode N, Banks, Shrotria S. The outcome of drug smuggling by "body-packers". The british experience. *Ann R Coll Surg Eng* 2002;84:35-8.
- 9 Fassaert LD, Visser MC. 'Body-packer' syndrome: an important disease with forensic-medical aspects. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk.* 2003;147:1041-5.
- 10 Schaper A, Hofmann R, Bargain P, Desel H, Ebbecke M, Langer C. Surgical treatment in cocaine body packers and body pushers. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2007;22:1531-5.
- 11 Hergan K, Kofler K, Oser W. Drug smuggling by body packing: what radiologists should know about it. *Eur Radiol* 2004;14:736-42.
- 12 Traub SJ, Hoffman RS, Nelson LS. False-positive abdominal radiography in a body packer resulting from intraabdominal calcifications. *Am J Emerg Med* 2003;21:607-8.
- 13 Aldrighetti L, Paganelli M, Giacomelli M, Villa G, Ferla G. Conservative management of cocaine-packet ingestion: experience in Milan, the main Italian smuggling center of South American cocaine. *Panminerva Med* 1996;38:111-6.
- 14 Hahn IH, Hoffman RS, Nelson LS. Contrast CT scan fails to detect the last heroin packet. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* 1999;37:644-5.
- 15 Hierholzer J, Cordes M, Tantom H, Keske U, Maurer, Felix R. Drug smuggling by ingested cocaine-filled packages: conventional x-ray and ultrasound. *Abdom Imaging* 1995;20:333-8.
- 16 Meijer R, Bots ML. Detection of intestinal drug containers by ultrasound scanning: an airport screening tool? *Eur Radiol* 2003;13:1312.
- 17 Marc B, Baud F, Aelion MJ. The cocaine body-packer syndrome: evaluation of a method of contrast study of the bowel. *J Forensic Sci* 1990;35:345-55.

1). On suspicion of iatrogenic perforation of the colon, the patient was admitted to hospital, receiving conservative treatment and prophylactic antibiotics. Evolution was good; the SE almost completely resolved and he was discharged on the 7th day after admission.

SE secondary to abdominal hollow organ perforation is rare; incidence after therapeutic colonoscopy is only 0.07-2.14% of cases, reducing to (0.03-0.65%) for diagnostic colonoscopy²⁻⁷. When the volume of free air in the abdomen is sufficiently great, it may ascend via anatomic hiatus of the diaphragm, drying out the tissue up to the cervical area. The symptoms depend on location: if the perforation is intraperitoneal, abdominal pain and signs of peritonism are likely, while retroperitoneal perforation may be asymptomatic^{6,7}. The treatment of small perforations (intra- or retroperitoneal), with previous colon preparation and stable patient condition, is conservative. Surgery is indicated in cases of acute abdomen, large perforations or late diagnosis (> 24 hours of evolution) because of increased risk of faecal contamination^{8,9}.

References

- 1 Marx JA, Hockberger RS, Walls RM (Eds). *Rosen Medicina de Urgencias. Conceptos y práctica clínica*, 5ª ed. Madrid, Elsevier España, SA, 2003.
- 2 Andel-Iah Jr, O, Fernández JC, Roibás A, Álvarez D, Rizzo A, Pérez-Ricarte P, et al. Enfisema subcutáneo secundario a perforación no traumática de colostomía. *Cir Esp* 2003;74:106-10.
- 3 Turumbay Ranz FJ, Blasco Gil RM, Ayensa Calvo J, Cambra Ría D. Enfisema subcutáneo como signo de perforación colónica tras diverticulitis aguda. *Emergencias* 2000;12:208-10.
- 4 Martín Parra JI, Díez Collantes M, Martín Oviedo FJ, Rodríguez Sanjuán JC, Naranjo Gómez A. Enfisema subcutáneo como complicación postoperatoria de un cierre de colostomía. *Rev Esp Enferm Digest* 1999;91:381-6.
- 5 Hunt I, Van Gelderen F, Irwin R. Subcutaneous emphysema of the neck and colonic perforation. *Emerg Med J* 2002;19:465.
- 6 Díaz M, Rodríguez A, Cerdeira JM. Neumomediastino y enfisema subcutáneo como signos de perforación del colon. *Emergencias* 1998;10:110-2.
- 7 Ochando F, Martín JG, Torralba JA, Aguilar J, Aguayo JL. Enfisema subcutáneo cervical y neumomediastino tras polipectomía endoscópica de colon. *Cir Esp* 2000;68:516-7.
- 8 Menchén BJ, Molina-Martín JM, Manzanares C, Martínez F, Villarejo P, de la Plaza R, et al. Neumoperitoneo, neumomediastino y enfisema cervical subcutáneo tras colonoscopia. *Cir Esp* 2006;79:259-60.
- 9 Putcha RV, Burdick JS. Management of iatrogenic perforation. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2003;32:1289-309.

María Ángeles FERNÁNDEZ MARTÍNEZ,
María Ángeles CARBONELL TORREGROSA

*Servicio de Urgencias. Hospital Virgen de la Salud de Elda.
Alicante, Spain.*